

Org. unit	EnDev		Project titl	е		Date						
Officer responsible for the commission Name: Nathan Moore					Project ti	tle: ENERGIZ	NG DEVE		PN	PN: 2014.2275.7-680.10		
Assessor	John Kizito		GECCO/S	SCALE.up (GD	& eCook		Contract no.	no. Contract no.				
Version	Individual assessment/overall as	ssessment									Bidder 1 to 5 of 5	
				Г		Dia						
		Enter bidder 1		Enter bidder 2		Enter bidder 3		Enter bidder 4		Enter bidder 5		
(1) Criterion		(2)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)
		Weighting	Points	Assessment		Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment
		in %	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)
1 Assessment of technical-	methodological design		1	1	1		1	1	1	T	1	
1.1 Strategy	he ToPs, critical examination of											
1.1.1 tasks		6%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
1.1.2 Description and justification of the contractor's strategy for delivering the services put out to tender.		10%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim total 1.1		16%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
1.2 Cooperation												
1.2.1 Presentation and interaction between the relevant actors in the contractor's area of responsibility		4%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Strategy for establishing cooperation and then cooperating with the 1.2.2 relevant actors		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim total 1.2		4%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
1.3 Steering structure		<del>4</del> 70		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Approach and procedure for steeri partners	ing the measures with the project	4%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
1.3.2 Description of contractor's contribution of contractor's contractor's contribution of contractor's contracto	ution to results monitoring and the	4%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim total 1.3		8%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
1.4 Processes		0 /0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
1.4.1 Presentation and explanation of th milestones, schedule	e implementation plan: work steps,	8%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
1.4.2 Presentation and explanation of th	e integration of the partner	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim total 1.4		8%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
1.5 Learning and innovation												
Contractor's contribution to knowledge management at the partner 1.5.1 and at GIZ		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
1.5.2 Presentation and explanation of the measures undertaken by the contractor to promote scaling-up effects		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim total 1.5		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
1.6 Project management of the cont	ractor	0,0				0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
1.6.1 Approach and procedure for coord	lination with/in GIZ project	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
1.6.2 Personnel assignment plan (who, explanation and specification of explanation and specification and specificatio	when, what work steps) incl.	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0



Org. unit	EnDev		Project titl	е				Date				
Officer responsible for the commission	mission Name: Nathan Moore				Project tit	tle: ENERGIZI	NG DEVE	LOPMENT -	PN <b>PN: 201</b> 4			2275.7-680.10
Assessor	ssor John Kizito					CALE.up (GD			Contract no. Contract			no.
Version	Individual assessment/overall a	esesement					Bidder 1 to 5 of 5					
	assessment			1								
		Enter	bidder 1	Enter bidder 2		Enter bidder 3		Enter bidder 4		Enter bidder 5		
(1) Criterion		(2)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)
		Weighting	Points	Assessment		Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment
		in %	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)
1.6.3 Contractor's backstopping strategy administrative backstopper)	(incl. CVs of the technical and	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim total 1.6		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
1.7 Further requirements		4%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Total 1		40%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2 Assessment of proposed	staff	•		•								
2.1 Team leader (in accordance with					1							
2.1.1 - Qualifications		3%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.1.2 - Language		4%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.1.3 - General professional experience		8%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.1.4 - Specific professional experience		5%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.1.5 - Leadership/management experie	nce	8%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.1.6 - Regional experience		4% 2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
	2.1.7 - Development cooperation experience			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.1.8 - Other		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim total 2.1		34%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.2 Expert 1 (in accordance with To	R provisions/criteria)											
2.2.1 - Qualifications		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.2.2 - Language 2.2.3 - General professional experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.2.3 - General professional experience 2.2.4 - Specific professional experience		0% 0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.2.4 - Specific professional experience 2.2.5 - Leadership/management experie		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.2.6 - Regional experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.2.7 - Development cooperation experie	ence	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.2.8 - Other		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim total 2.2		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.3 Expert 2 (in accordance with To	R provisions/criteria)	0,0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.3.1 - Qualifications		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.3.2 - Language				0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.3.3 - General professional experience		0% 0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.3.4 - Specific professional experience				0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.3.5 - Leadership/management experie		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.3.6 - Regional experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.3.7 - Development cooperation experi	ence	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.3.8 - Other		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim total 2.3		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0



Org. unit	EnDev		Project titl	е		Date	Date						
Officer responsible for the commission	Name: Nathan Moore				Project tit	tle: ENERGIZI	NG DEVE		PN	PN PN: 2014.2275.7-680			
Assessor	John Kizito				GECCO/S	SCALE.up (GD	& eCooki	ing)		Contract no.	Contract	Contract no.	
Version	Individual assessment/overall	assessment									Bidder 1 to 5 of 5		
		Enter	bidder 1 Enter bidder 2		Enter bidder 3		Enter bidder 4		Enter bidder 5				
(1) Criterion		(2)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	
		Weighting	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	
		in %	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	
2.4 Expert 3 (in accordance with To 2.4.1 - Qualifications	R provisions/criteria)	00/											
2.4.1 - Qualifications 2.4.2 - Language		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.4.2 - Canguage 2.4.3 - General professional experience		0% 0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.4.3 - Specific professional experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.4.4 - Specific professional experience	nce	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.4.6 - Regional experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.4.7 - Development cooperation experie	ence	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.4.7 - Development cooperation experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Interim total 2.4		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.5 Expert 4 (in accordance with To	R provisions/criteria)	• / •								0.0		0.0	
2.5.1 - Qualifications	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.5.2 - Language		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.5.3 - General professional experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.5.4 - Specific professional experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.5.5 - Leadership/management experie	nce	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.5.6 - Regional experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.5.7 - Development cooperation experi	ence	0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.5.8 - Other		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Interim total 2.5		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.6 Short-term expert pool 1 (in acc	ordance with ToR												
2.6.1 - Qualifications		5%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.6.2 - Language		4%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.6.3 - General professional experience		6%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.6.4 - Specific professional experience		6%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.6.5 - Regional experience		4%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.6.6 - Development cooperation experience		1%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.6.7 - Other		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Interim total 2.6		26%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.7 Short-term expert pool 2 (in acc	ordance with TOR	00/								0.0			
2.7.1 - Qualifications		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.7.2 - Language 2.7.3 - General professional experience		0% 0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.7.3 - General professional experience 2.7.4 - Specific professional experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.7.4 - Specific professional experience		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.7.5 - Regional experience	2000	0%		0.0				0.0		0.0		0.0	
2.7.0 - Development cooperation expension		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	



Org. unit EnDev					Project titl	е			Date	Date		
Officer responsible for the commission Name: Nathan Moore					Project ti	tle: ENERGIZI	NG DEVE	LOPMENT -		PN	PN: 2014.2275.7-680.10	
Assessor John Kizito					GECCO/SCALE.up (GD & eCooking)					Contract no.	Contract no.	
Version Ind	sessment									Bidder 1 to 5 of 5		
			Enter	Enter bidder 1		Enter bidder 2		Enter bidder 3		Enter bidder 4		r bidder 5
(1) Criterion		(2) Weighting in %	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	() ()	(max.10)		(3) Points (max.10)		(max.10)	
2.7.7 - Other		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim total 2.7		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.8 Assessment of proposed personnel for non-specified positions (provided permissible under ToRs)												
Composition and sufficient assignment duration of the team in order 2.8.1 to perform the tasks specified in the schedule and personnel assignment plan		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Qualifications and sufficient assignment duration of the team 2.8.2 (professional experience and other specific experience) in order to process theme 1		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Qualifications and sufficient assignment duration of the team 2.8.3 (professional experience and other specific experience) in order to process theme 2		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim total 2.8		0%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Total 2		60%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
	Overall total 1 + 2	100%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
	Assessment in %			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
	Ranking			1.0		1.0		1.0		1.0		1.0

I hereby declare that I completed this assessment independently, to the best of my knowledge and in good faith. I will treat the information confidentially and will not pass on any details of the ongoing assessment procedure.

Please note: Staff with connections of a personal nature that could lead to conflicts of interest, or who have connections of a family or financial nature to GIZ business partners, participants in competitive tenders or their staff members may not be involved in decisions on corresponding contract awards – see P+R Rule 142. By submitting the completed grid for the technical assessment of tenders you officially confirm that none of the people involved in the assessment have any such connections.

Date, full first and last name, function, OU